

Rational Inequality in Multiplicative Metric Spaces

Avinash Chandra Upadhyaya

Department of Mathematics, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, Sonapat,
Haryana, India

Abstract: In this paper, we prove a fixed point theorem for a map that satisfy a rational inequality in multiplicative metric spaces and this generalize various results present in literature.

Keywords: Multiplicative metric spaces, rational inequality, fixed point.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

It is well know that the set of positive real numbers \mathbb{R}_+ is not complete according to the usual metric. To overcome this problem, in 2008, Bashirov et al. [2] introduced the concept of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Definition1.1. ([2]) Let X be a non-empty set. A multiplicative metric is a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $x=y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \cdot d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (multiplicative triangle inequality).

Then mapping d together with X i.e., (X, d) is a multiplicative metric space.

Example1.2.([8]) Let \mathbb{R}_+^n be the collection of all n -tuples of positive real numbers.

Let $d^*: \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows:

$$d^*(x, y) = \left(\left| \frac{x_1}{y_1} \right|^* \cdot \left| \frac{x_2}{y_2} \right|^* \cdots \left| \frac{x_n}{y_n} \right|^* \right),$$

where $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y=(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $|\cdot|^*: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$|a|^* = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \geq 1; \\ \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } a < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then it is obvious that all conditions of multiplicative metric are satisfied.

Example1.3. ([10]) Let $d: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be defined as

$d(x, y) = a^{|x-y|}$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 1$. Then $d(x, y)$ is a multiplicative metric and (X, d) is called a multiplicative metric space. We call it usual multiplicative metric spaces.

Example1.4.([10]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a mapping d_a on X by $d_a(x, y) = a^{d(x,y)}$ where $a > 1$ is a real number and $d_a(x, y) = a^{d(x,y)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ a & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$

The metric $d_a(x, y)$ is called discrete multiplicative metric and X together with metric d_a i.e., (X, d_a) is known as a discrete multiplicative metric space.

Example 1.5.([11]) Let $X = C^*[a, b]$ be the collection of all real-valued multiplicative continuous functions over $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. Then (X, d) is a multiplicative metric space with metric d defined by

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right| \text{ for } f, g \in X.$$

Remark1.6. We note that the example 1.1 is valid for positive real numbers and example 1.2 is valid for all real numbers.

Remark 1.7. ([10]) Neither every metric is multiplicative metric nor every multiplicative metric is metric. The mapping d^* defined above is multiplicative metric but not metric as it doesn't satisfy triangular inequality. Consider $d^*(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}) + d^*(\frac{1}{2}, 3) = \frac{3}{2} + 6 = 7.5 < 9 = d^*(\frac{1}{3}, 3)$.

On the other, hand the usual metric on \mathbb{R} is not multiplicative metric as it doesn't satisfy multiplicative triangular inequality, since $d(2, 3) \cdot d(3, 6) = 3 < 4 = d(2, 6)$.

One can refer to ([8]) for detailed multiplicative metric topology.

Definition 1.8. ([8]) Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X said to be a

(i) multiplicative convergent sequence to x , if for every multiplicative open ball $B_\epsilon(x) = \{y \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon > 1$, there exists a natural number N such that $x_n \in B_\epsilon(x)$ for all $n \geq N$, i. e, $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) multiplicative Cauchy sequence if for all $\epsilon > 1$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > N$ i. e, $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A multiplicative metric space is called complete if every multiplicative Cauchy sequence in X is multiplicative converging to $x \in X$.

Remark 1.9. We note that the set of positive real numbers \mathbb{R}_+ is not complete according to the usual metric. Let $X = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Consider the sequence $x_n = \{\frac{1}{n}\}$. It is obvious $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X with respect to usual metric spaces X and it is not complete metric space as every Cauchy sequence in X does not converge in \mathbb{R}_+ i.e., $0 \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. In case of multiplicative metric spaces, consider the sequence $x_n = \{a^{1/n}\}$, where $a > 1$, it is complete in multiplicative metric spaces, since for $n \geq m$,

$$d^*(x_n, x_m) = \left| \frac{x_n}{x_m} \right|^* = \left| \frac{a^{1/n}}{a^{1/m}} \right|^* = \left| a^{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}} \right|^* = a^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}} < a^{\frac{1}{m}} < \epsilon \quad \text{if } m > \frac{\log a}{\log \epsilon},$$

$$\text{where } |a|^* = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \geq 1; \\ \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } a < 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and it converges to $1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (X, d) is a complete multiplicative metric space.

In 2012, Özavşar and Çevikel [8] introduced the concepts of Banach-contraction, Kannan-contraction, and Chatterjea-contraction mappings in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

(Banach-contraction). Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a multiplicative contraction if there exists a real constant $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)^\lambda \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \text{ Then } f \text{ has a unique fixed point.}$$

(Kannan-contraction). Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Suppose the mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contraction condition

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fx, x) \cdot d(fy, y))^\lambda, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $(f_n(x))$ converges to the fixed point.

(Chatterjea-contraction). Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Suppose the mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contraction condition

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fy, x) \cdot d(fx, y))^\lambda, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $(f_n(x))$ converges to the fixed point.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove a fixed point theorem for a map that satisfy rational inequality.

Theorem 2.1. Let f be a continuous self- mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X and f satisfies the following conditions :

$$(2.1) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x, fy) \cdot d(y, fx)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x, y)]^{a_3} \cdot \left[\frac{d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy)}{d(x, y)} \right]^{a_4} \cdot \left\{ \max \{d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), \frac{d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy) \cdot d(y, fx)}{d(x, y)}\} \right\}^{a_5}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $2a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in [0, 1]$.

Then T has unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , defined as follows:

Let $x_0 \in X$, $f(x_0) = x_1, f(x_1) = x_2, \dots, f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$.

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then x_n is a fixed point of f .

Taking $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

From (2.1), we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq [d(x_n, fx_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_n, fx_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_n)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^{a_3} \cdot \left[\frac{d(x_n, fx_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})} \right]^{a_4}$$

$$\{ \max \{ d(x_n, fx_n), d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), d(x_n, fx_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, fx_n), \frac{d(x_n, fx_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})} \} \}^{a_5}$$

$$\leq [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_n, x_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^{a_3} \cdot \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})} \right]^{a_4}$$

$$\{ \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})} \} \}^{a_5}$$

$$\leq [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^{a_3}$$

$$[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^{a_4} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2 \cdot [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_5}$$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^{a_1+a_4+a_2+2a_5} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^{a_1+a_2+a_5+a_3}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^h,$$

$$\text{where } h = \frac{a_1+a_2+a_5+a_3}{1-(a_1+a_4+a_2+2a_5)} < 1.$$

Similarly, $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^h,$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^{h^2}.$$

Continue like this we get,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_0, x_1)]^{h^n}$$

$$\text{For } n > m, d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \cdot \dots \cdot d(x_m, x_{m+1})$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1)^{h^{n-1}+h^{n-2}+\dots+h^m}$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{h^m}{1-h}}. \text{ This implies } d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1 (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. By the multiplicative completeness of X , there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Now we show that z is fixed point of f .

Since f is continuous and $x_n \rightarrow z (n \rightarrow \infty)$ so, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = fz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z,$

i.e., z is a fixed point of f .

Uniqueness: Suppose $z, w (z \neq w)$ be two fixed point of f , then from (2.1), we have

$$d(v, w) = d(fv, fw)$$

$$\leq [d(v, fv) \cdot d(w, fw)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(v, fw) \cdot d(w, fv)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(v, w)]^{a_3} \cdot \left[\frac{d(v, fv) \cdot d(w, fw)}{d(v, w)} \right]^{a_4}$$

$$\cdot \{ \max \{ d(v, fv), d(w, fw), d(v, fw), d(w, fv), \frac{d(v, fv) \cdot d(w, fw) \cdot d(w, fv)}{d(v, w)} \} \}^{a_5}$$

$$d(v, w) \leq [d(v, w)]^{a_3+2a_2+a_5-a_4} \text{ this implies that } d(v, w) = 1 \text{ i.e., } v = w.$$

Hence f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 1. On Putting $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), get Kannan-contraction[8] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces.

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Suppose the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contraction condition

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fx, x) \cdot d(fy, y))^{a_1}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \text{ where } a_1 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Corollary 2. On Putting $a_2 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Fisher-contraction [4] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Let f be a continuous self- mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X , further f satisfies the following conditions

$$d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x, y)]^{a_3}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } 2a_1 + a_3 < 1, \text{ where}$$

$$a_1, a_3 \in [0, 1].$$

Then T has unique fixed point.

Corollary 3. On Putting $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Chatterjea-contraction[8] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces.

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Suppose the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contraction condition

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fy, x) \cdot d(fx, y))^{a_1}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \text{ where } a_1 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Corollary 4. On Putting $a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Banach-contraction[8] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a multiplicative contraction if there exists a real constant $a_3 \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)^{a_3} \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \text{ Then } f \text{ has a unique fixed point.}$$

Corollary 5. On Putting $a_4 = a_5 = 0$, in (2.1), we get Ciric-contraction[3] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Let f be a continuous self- mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X , further f satisfies the following conditions

$$d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x, fy) \cdot d(y, fx)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x, y)]^{a_3},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $2a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 < 1$ where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in [0, 1]$.

Then T has unique fixed point.

Corollary 6. On Putting $a_1 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Reich-contraction[9] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Let f be a continuous self- mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X , further f satisfies the following conditions

$$d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, fy) \cdot d(y, fx)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(x, y)]^{a_3}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } 2a_2 + a_3 < 1 \text{ where } a_2, a_3 \in [0, 1]. \text{ Then } T \text{ has unique fixed point.}$$

Corollary 7. On Putting $a_1 = a_2 = a_5 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Jaggi-contraction[6] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Let f be a continuous self- mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X , further f satisfies the following conditions

$$d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, y)]^{a_3} \cdot \left[\frac{d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy)}{d(x, y)} \right]^{a_4},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_3 + a_4 < 1$ where $a_3, a_4 \in [0, 1]$.

Then T has unique fixed point.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M., Ali B., Suleiman, Y. I., Common Fixed Points of Locally Contractive Mappings in Multiplicative Metric Spaces with Application, Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume (2015), Article ID 218683.
- [2] Bashirov, A.E., Kurplnara, E.M., and Ozyapici A., Multiplicative calculus and its applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337, (2008)36-48.
- [3] Ciric, L. B. "A generalization of Banach contraction Principle" Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1974) 267-273.
- [4] Fisher B. "A fixed point theorem for compact metric space" Publ.Inst.Math.25 (1976) 193-194.
- [5] Isufati, A., Fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi metric spaces. Appl. Math. Sci.4, (2010) 217-223.
- [6] Jaggi, D.S., Some unique fixed point theorems. I. J.P. Appl. 8(1977) 223-230.
- [7] Kohli, M., Shrivastava, R., Sharma, M., Some results on fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric space. Int. J.Theoret. Appl. Sci.2, 27-28 (2010).
- [8] Ozavsar, M. and Cevikel, A.C., Fixed point of multiplicative contraction mappings on multiplicative metric space, arXiv: 1205.5131v1 [matn.GN] (2012).
- [9] Reich, S., Some remarks concerning contraction mapping. Canada. Math.Bull.14 (1971) 121-124.
- [10] Sarwar, M., Badshah-e, R., Some unique fixed point Theorems in multiplicative metric space, arXiv: 1410.3384v2 [matn.GM] (2014).
- [11] Song, M., He, X.and Chen, D., Common fixed points for weak commutative mappings on a multiplicative metric space. Fixed point Theory and Applications (2014), 2014:48.